

A REFERENCE LIST

ETHICS AND CROSS-CULTURAL AND QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

June 2006

Developed by

Maureen H. Fitzgerald, PhD

m.fitzgerald@exemail.com.au

www.ethicsproject.com

Ethics and qualitative research overlaps with ethics and cross-cultural research — and many others kinds of research. So the distinctions here are artificial. This is just a beginning list of references that might be useful in exploring ethics in relations to some kinds of research and may be helpful in developing standards for research. It draws heavily on the social science and anthropological literature. Texts on methodology, particularly those associated with ethnography, could be added to this list as most inherently deal with ethical issues. My reference database includes many other potentially useful references.

- Adler, P. A., & Adler, P. (2002). Do university lawyers and the police define research values? In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 34-42). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Allred, P., & Gillies, V. (2002). Eliciting research accounts: Re/producing modern subjects? In M. Mauthner, M. Birch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research* (pp. 146-165). London: Sage.
- American Anthropological Association. (2004). *American Anthropological Association statement on ethnography and Institutional Review Boards*, from <http://www.aaanet.org/stmts/irb.htm>
- American Anthropological Association. (2003, November). Oral history excluded from IRB review. *Anthropology News*, 44, 29.
- American Association of University Professors. (2001). *Protecting human beings: Institutional review boards and social science research*. Washington, DC: American Association of University Professors.
- Barnes, J. A. (1979). *Who should know what? Social science, privacy and ethics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Barnes, J. A. (1996). Unavoidable compromises in social research. In A. M. Shah, B. S. Baviskar & E. A. Ramaswamy (Eds.), *Social structure and change: Volume I: Theory and method -- Evaluation of the work of M. N. Srinivas* (pp. 178-196). New Delhi: Sage.
- Barrett, R. J., & Parker, D. B. (2003). Rites of consent: Negotiating research participation in diverse cultures. *Monash Bioethics Review*, 22(2), 9-26.

- Barry, M. (1988). Ethical considerations of human investigations in developing countries: The AIDS dilemma. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 319(16), 1083-1086.
- Beagan, B. (2000). Ethics review for human subjects research: Interviews with members of research ethics boards and national organizations. In M. McDonald (Ed.), *The governance of health research involving human subjects (HRIHS)* (pp. 173-236). Ottawa, Ontario: Law Commission of Canada.
- Becker, H. S. (2004). Comment on Kevin D. Haggerty, "Ethics creep: Governing social science research in the name of ethics". *Qualitative Sociology*, 27(4), 415-416.
- Bell, L., & Nutt, L. (2002). Divided loyalties, divided expectations: Research ethics, professional and occupational responsibilities. In M. Mauthner, M. Birch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research* (pp. 70-90). London: Sage.
- Birch, M., & Miller, T. (2002). Encouraging participation: Ethics and responsibilities. In M. Mauthner, M. Birch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research* (pp. 91-106). London: Sage.
- Birch, M., Miller, T., Mauthner, M., & Jessop, J. (2002). Introduction. In M. Mauthner, M. Birch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research* (pp. 1-13). London: Sage.
- Bliss, A. (2002). Oral history research. In R. Amdur & E. Bankert (Eds.), *Institutional Review Board: Management and function* (pp. 415-418). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett.
- Bosk, C. L. (2004). The ethnographer and the IRB: Comment on Keven D. Haggerty, "ethics creep: Governing social science research in the name of ethics". *Qualitative Sociology*, 27(4), 417-420.
- Bosk, C. L., & De Vries, R. (2004). Bureaucracies of mass deception: Institutional Review Board and the ethics of ethnographic research. *Annals of the American Academy*, 595, 249-263.
- Bruner, E. M. (2004, January). Ethnographic practice and human subjects review. *Anthropology News*, p. 10.
- Cantwell, A.-M., Friedlander, E., & Tramm, M. L. (Eds.). (2000). *Ethics and anthropology: Facing future issues in human biology, globalism, and cultural property*. New York: The New York Academy of Sciences.
- Cassell, J. (1979). Regulating fieldwork: Of subjects, subjection, and intersubjectivity. In M. L. Wax & J. Cassell (Eds.), *Federal regulations: Ethical issues and social research* (pp. 129-144). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Cassell, J., & Jacobs, S.-E. (Eds.). (1987). *Handbook on ethical issues in anthropology*. Washington, DC: American Anthropological Association.
- Cassell, J., & Wax, M. L. (1980). Ethical problems of fieldwork. *Social Problems*, 27(4).
- Casteel, J. K. (1998). The ethics of informed consent among storyteller cultures. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health*, 57 Suppl.(1), 41-42.
- Center for Advanced Study Project Steering Committee. (2005). *The Illinois White Paper: Improving the system for protecting human subjects: Counteracting IRB "Mission Creep"*. Urbana, IL: College of Law, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

- Christakis, N. A. (1992). Ethics are local: Engaging cross-cultural variation in the ethics for clinical research. *Social Science & Medicine*, 35(9), 1079-1091.
- Church, J. T. (2002). For the record: Should all disciplines be subject to the Common Rule? Human subjects in social science research. *Academe*, 88(3).
- Clark, A. (1998). The qualitative-quantitative debate: Moving from positivism and confrontation to post-positivism and reconciliation. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 27(6), 1242-1249.
- Corbin, J., & Morse, J. M. (2004). The unstructured interactive interview: Issues of reciprocity and risks when dealing with sensitive topics. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 9 (3), 335-354.
- Cribb, A. (2003). Approaching qualitative research. In S. Eckstein (Ed.), *Manual for research ethics committees* (6th ed., pp. 40-48). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (this is a nice short article for ethics committee members. Although the author does not appear to be an anthropologist, the author has dealt with it quite well.)
- Cribb, A. (2003). Approaching qualitative research. In S. Eckstein (Ed.), *Manual for research ethics committees* (6th ed., pp. 40-48). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Crigger, B. J. (1995). Bioethnography: Fieldwork in the land of medical ethics. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 9(3), 400-417.
- Daly, J. (Ed.). (1996). *Ethical intersections: Health research, methods and researcher responsibility*. Sydney: Allen and Unwin.
- Davies, C. A. (1999). *Reflexive ethnography: A guide to researching selves and others*. London: Routledge. (has a chapter on ethics)
- De Vries, R. G. (2003). How can we help? From "sociology in" to "sociology of" bioethics. *Hastings Center Report*, 32, 1-14.
- De Vries, R., Anderson, M. S., & Martinson, B. C. (2006). Normal misbehavior: Scientists talk about the ethics of research. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 1, 43-50.
- De Vries, R. G., & Bosk, C. L. (2004). The bioethics of business: Rethinking the relationship between bioethics consultants and corporate clients. *Hastings Center Report*, 34 (5), 28-32.
- De Vries, R., & Forsberg, C. P. (2002). Who decides? A look at ethics committee membership. *HEC Forum*, 14 (3), 252-258.
- De Vries, R. G., & Forsberg, C. P. (2002). What do IRBs look like? What kind of support do they receive? *Accountability in Research*, 9, 199-216.
- De Vries, R., DeBruin, D. A., & Goodgame, A. (2004). Ethics review of social, behavioral, and economic research: Where should we go from here? *Ethics & Behavior*, 14 (4), 351-368.
- Dolan, B. (1999). The impact of local research ethics committees on the development of nursing knowledge. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 30(5), 1009-1010.
- Doucet, A., Mauthner, M., & Jessop, J. (2002). Knowing responsibility: Linking ethics, research practice and epistemology. In M. Mauthner, M. Birch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research* (pp. 123-145). London: Sage.

- Duncombe, J., & Jessop, J. (2002). 'Doing rapport' and the ethics of 'faking friendship'. In M. Mauthner, M. Birch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research* (pp. 107-122). London: Sage.
- Dunn, L. (1991). Research alert! Qualitative research may be hazardous to your health. *Qualitative Health Research*, 1(3), 388-392.
- Edwards, R., & Mauthner, M. (2002). Ethics and feminist research: Theory and practice. In M. Mauthner, M. Birch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research* (pp. 14-31). London: Sage.
- Emden, C., & Sandelowski, M. (1998). The good, the bad and the relative, part one: Conceptions of goodness in qualitative research. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 4(4), 206-212.
- Emden, C., & Sandelowski, M. (1999). The good, the bad and the relative, part two: Goodness and the criterion problem in qualitative research. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 5(1), 2-7.
- Ensign, J. (2003). Ethical issues in qualitative health research with homeless youths. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 43 (1), 43-50.
- Everett, M. (2006). Doing bioethics: Challenges for anthropology. *Human Organization*, 65(1), 46-54.
- Fitzgerald, M. H. (1994). Negotiating human ethics committees in Australia. *Society for Applied Anthropology Newsletter*, 5(4), 3-5.
- Fitzgerald, M. H. (2004). Big Basket or Mission Creep? *Professional Ethics Report*, XVII(3), 1-3.
- Fitzgerald, M. H. (2005). Punctuated equilibrium, moral panics and the ethics review process. *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 1-24.
- Fitzgerald, M. H. (2005). The ethics-review process. *Anthropology News*, 46(6), 10-11.
- Fitzgerald, M. H. (2006). Opinion: The ethics-review process. *Dialogue: Newsletter of the Academy of the Social Sciences in Australia*, 25(1), 86-88.
- Fitzgerald, M. H., & Phillips, P. A. (2006). Centralized and non-centralized ethics review: A five nation study. *Accountability in Research*, 13, 47-74.
- Fitzgerald, M. H., & Yule, E. (2003). The research ethical review black box. *Anthropology News*, 44(8), 64.
- Fitzgerald, M. H., & Yule, E. (2004). Open and closed committees. *Monash Bioethics Review*, 23(4), 35-49.
- Flood, A. B. (2002). Health services research. In R. Amdur & E. Bankert (Eds.), *Institutional Review Board: Management and function* (pp. 419-423). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett.
- Fluehr-Lobban, C. (2000). Globalization of research and international standards of ethics in anthropology. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 925, 37-44.
- Fluehr-Lobban, C. (2003). Informed consent in anthropological research: We are not exempt. In C. Fluehr-Lobban (Ed.), *Ethics and the profession of anthropology: Dialogue for ethically conscious practice* (2nd ed., pp. 159-177). Walnut Creek: Altamira Press.

- Fluehr-Lobban, C. (Ed.). (1991). *Ethics and the profession of anthropology: Dialogue for a new era*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Fluehr-Lobban, C. (Ed.). (2003). *Ethics and the profession of anthropology: Dialogue for ethically conscious practice* (2nd ed.). Walnut Creek: Altamira Press.
- Fontes, L. A. (1997). Conducting ethical cross-cultural research on family violence. In G. K. Kantor, J. L. Jasinski & e. al. (Eds.), *Out of darkness: Contemporary perspectives on family violence* (pp. 296-312). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Fontes, L. A. (2004). Ethics in violence against women research: The sensitive, the dangerous, and the overlooked. *Ethics & Behavior, 14* (2), 141-174.
- Fry, C. L., Ritter, A., Baldwin, K. J., Gardiner, P., Holt, T., Jenkinson, R., et al. (2005). Paying research participants: A study of current practices in Australia. *Journal of Medical Ethics, 31*, 542-547.
- Gallant, D. R. (2002). Qualitative social science research. In R. Amdur & E. Bankert (Eds.), *Institutional Review Board: Management and function* (pp. 403-406). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett.
- Giles, J. (2005). Researchers break the rules in frustration at review boards. *Nature, 438*, 136-137.
- Gillies, V., & Aldred, P. (2002). The ethics of intention: Research as a political tool. In M. Mauthner, M. Birch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research* (pp. 32-52). London: Sage.
- Gray, M. L. (2003, November). The plasticity of vulnerability: Research with a stigmatized community. *Anthropology News, 44*, 19.
- Haggerty, K. D. (2004). Ethics creep: Governing social science research in the name of ethics. *Qualitative Sociology, 27*(4), 391-414.
- Haggerty, K. D. (2005). Accommodating ethical review: Response to Bosk and De Vries. *American Academy of Political and Social Science, 595*.
- Hahn, R. A. (1982). Culture and informed consent: An anthropological perspective. In *Making health care decisions: The ethical and legal implications of informed consent in the patient-practitioner relationship* (Vol. 3: Appendices studies on the foundations of informed consent, pp. 37-62). Washington, DC: President's Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Behavioral Research.
- Harley, D. A., Feist-Price, S., & Alston, R. J. (1996). Cultural diversity and ethics: Expanding the definition to be inclusive. *Rehabilitation Education, 10*(2&3), 201-210.
- Heme, S., & Pfeffer, N. (2003/4). Involving non-English speakers in NHS research. *CERES News, 34*, 3-4.
- Hill, S. (2004). Doing collaborative research: Doing what feels right and makes sense. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 7*(2), 109-126.
- Holloway, I., & Wheeler, S. (1995). Ethical issues in qualitative nursing research. *Nursing Ethics, 2*(3), 223-232.
- Homan, R. (1991). *The ethics of social research*. London: Longman.

- Hutchinson, S. A., Wilson, M. E., & Wilson, H. S. (1994). Benefits of participating in research interviews. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 26(2), 161-164.
- Israel, M. (2004). *Ethics and the governance of criminological research in Australia*. Sydney: NSW Bureau of Crime Statistics and Research, Attorney General's Department. www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/bocsar
- Jaarsma, S. (2002). *Handle with care: Ownership and control of ethnographic materials*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Johnson, J. M., & Altheide, D. L. (2002). Reflection on professional ethics. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 59-69). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Kegley, J. A. (1996). Using genetic information: The individual and the community. *Medicine & Law*, 15(3), 377-389.
- Kellner, F. (2002). Yet another coming crisis? Coping with guidelines from the Tri-Council. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 26-33). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- King, N. M. P., Henderson, G. E., & Stein, J. (Eds.). (1999). *Beyond regulations: Ethics in human subjects research*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Kitchin, H. A. (2002). The Tri-Council on cyberspace: Insights, oversights, and extrapolation. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 160-174). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Kleinman, A. (1996). Chapter 3: Anthropology of Bioethics. In *Writing at the margin: Discourse between anthropology and medicine*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Leach, A., Hilton, S., Greenwood, B. M., Manneh, E., Dibba, B., Wilkins, A., et al. (1999). An evaluation of the informed consent procedure used during a trial of a Haemophilus influenza type B conjugate vaccine undertaken in The Gambia, West Africa. *Social Science & Medicine*, 48(2), 139-148.
- Lieban, R. W. (1990). Medical anthropology and the comparative study of medical ethics. In G. Weisz (Ed.), *Social science perspectives on medical ethics* (pp. 221-239). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Tierney, W. G. (2004). Qualitative Research and Institutional Review Boards. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 10 (2), 219-234.
- Malone, S. (2003). Ethics at home: Informed consent in your own backyard. *Qualitative Studies in Education*, 16(6), 797-815.
- Marshall, P. (1991). Research ethics in applied medical anthropology. In C. E. Hill (Ed.), *Training manual in applied medical anthropology* (pp. 213-235). Washington, DC: American Anthropological Association.
- Marshall, P. A. (2006). Informed consent in international health research. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*, 1, 25-42.
- Marshall, P. A., Koenig, B. A., Grifhorst, P., & van Ewijk, M. (1998). Ethical issues in immigrant health care and clinical research. In S. Loue & e. al. (Eds.), *Handbook of immigrant health* (pp. 203-226). New York: Plenum Press.

- Martinson, B. C., Anderson, M. S., & De Vries, R. (2005). Scientists behaving badly. *Nature*, 435, 737-738.
- Mauthner, M., Birch, M., Jessop, J., & Miller, T. (Eds.). (2002). *Ethics in qualitative research*. London: Sage.
- McDonald, M. (2001). Canadian governance of health research involving human subjects: Is anybody minding the store? *Health Law Journal*, 9, 1-21.
- McGough, H. (2004). IRBs and ethnographers. *Anthropology News*, 45(2).
- McGrath, P. (2003). Benefits of participation in a longitudinal qualitative research. *Monash Bioethics Review*, 22(1), 63-78.
- McNeill, P. M. (1993). *The ethics and politics of human experimentation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Miller, T., & Bell, L. (2002). Consenting to what? Issues of access, gate-keeping and 'informed' consent. In M. Mauthner, M. Birch, J. Jessop & T. Miller (Eds.), *Ethics in qualitative research* (pp. 53-69). London: Sage.
- Mills, E. (2002). Hazel the dental assistant and the research dilemma of (Re)presenting a life story: The clash of narratives. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 107-123). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Mitchell, T., & Fletcher, I. (1998). Stating the case for nursing research ethics committees: A discussion paper. *Nurse Education Today*, 18, 133-137.
- Morse, J. (1991). Subjects, respondents, informants, and participants? *Qualitative Health Research*, 1(4), 403-406.
- Murphy, E., & Dingwall, R. (2001). The ethics of ethnography. In P. Atkinson, A. Coffey, S. Delamont, J. Lofland & L. Lofland (Eds.), *Handbook of ethnography* (pp. 339-351). London: Sage.
- National Committee for Ethics in Social Science Research in Health (NCESSRH). (2000). *Ethical guidelines for social science research in health*. Mumbai: National Committee for Ethics in Social Science Research in Health.
- National Health and Medical Research Council. (1995). *Ethical aspects of qualitative methods in health research -- An information paper for institutional ethics committees*. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council.
- National Health and Medical Research Council. (1995). *Ethical aspects of qualitative methods in health research -- An information paper for institutional ethics committees*. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council.
- National Health and Medical Research Council. (2001). *Statement on consumer and community participation in health and medical research*. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council.
- National Health and Medical Research Council. (2002). *Human research ethics handbook: Commentary on the National Statement on Ethical Conduct Involving Humans*. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia.

- National Health and Medical Research Council. (2003). *Values and Ethics: Guidelines for Ethical Conduct in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Research*. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia.
- National Institutes of Health. (1991). Part 46: Protection of human subjects, *Code of Federal Regulations*. Washington, DC.
- National Research Council. (2003). *Protecting participants and facilitating social and behavioral sciences research*. Washington, D.C.: Panel on Institutional Review Boards, Survey, and Social Sciences Research. Constance F. Citro, Daniel R. Ilgen, Cora B. Marrett, eds. Committee on National Statistics and Board of Behavioral, Cognitive, and Sensory Sciences.
- Oberle, K. M. (2002). Ethics in qualitative health research. *Annals of the Royal College of Physicians & Surgeons of Canada*, 35(8 suppl.), 563-566.
- Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research. (c2003). *Qualitative Methods In Health Research: Opportunities and Considerations In Application and Review*. Washington, DC: Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research, National Institutes of Health.
- Olesen, V. (1979). Federal Regulations, institutional review boards and qualitative social science research. In M. L. Wax & J. Cassell (Eds.), *Federal regulations: Ethical issues and social research* (pp. 103-118). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Olweny, C. (1994). The ethics and conduct of cross-cultural research in developing countries. *Psycho-Oncology*, 3(1), 11-20.
- O'Neill, P. (1989). Responsible to whom? Responsible for what? Some ethical issues in community intervention. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 17(3), 323-341
- O'Neill, P. (1998). Communities, collectivities, and the ethics of research. *Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health*, 17(2), 67-78
- O'Neill, P. (2002). Good intentions and awkward outcomes: Ethical gatekeeping in field research. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 17-25). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- O'Neill, P. (2002). Good intentions and awkward outcomes: Ethical gatekeeping in field research. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 17-25). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Otero, M. V. (2000). Governance relations in biomedical research involving human subjects. In M. McDonald (Ed.), *The governance of health research involving human subjects (HRIHS)* (pp. 237-290). Ottawa, Ontario: Law Commission of Canada.
- Overbey, M. M. (2001). AAA responds to human research oversight proposals. *Anthropology News*, 42(4), 9-10.
- Parker, D. B., James, M., & Barrett, R. J. (2005). The practical logic of reasonableness: An ethnographic reconnaissance of a research ethics committee. *Monash Bioethics Review*, 24(4), 7-27.
- Parrott, E. S. (2002). Ethnographic research. In R. Amdur & E. Bankert (Eds.), *Institutional Review Board: Management and function* (pp. 407-414). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett.

- Pearce, M. (2002). Challenging the system: Rethinking ethical reviews of social research in Britain's National Health Service. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 43-58). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Plattner, S. (2004). Human subjects protections and anthropology. *Anthropology News*, 45(2).
- Ramcharan, P., & Cutcliffe, J. R. (2001). Judging the ethics of qualitative research: considering the "ethics as process" model. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 9(6), 358-366.
- Reidar, L. (2003). Commentaries on Barrett and Parker, 'Rites of consent: Negotiating research participation in diverse cultures': The absolute ethical requirement of individual, informed consent. *Monash Bioethics Review*, 22(3), 18-22.
- Reverby, S. M. (Ed.). (2000). *Tuskegee's truths: Rethinking the Tuskegee syphilis study*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Ritter, A., Fry, C. L., & Swan, A. (2003). The ethics of reimbursing injection drug users for public health research interviews: What price are we prepared to pay? *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 14, 1-3.
- Rynkiewich, M., & Spradley, J. (1976). *Ethics and anthropology: Dilemmas in fieldwork*. New York: John Wiley.
- Sales, B. D., & Folkman, S. (Eds.). (2000). *Ethics in research with human participants*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Sankar, P. (2004). Communication and miscommunication in informed consent to research. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 18(4), 429-446.
- Shea, C. (2000, September). Don't talk to the humans: The crackdown on social science research. *Lingua Franca*, 27-34.
- Sieber, J. (1982). Deception in social research I: Kinds of deception and the wrongs they may involve. *IRB*, 4(9), 1-5.
- Sieber, J. (1982). Deception in social research II: Evaluating the potential for harm or wrong. *IRB*, 5(1), 1-6.
- Sieber, J. (1982). Deception in social research III: The nature and limits of debriefing. *IRB*, 5(3), 1-4.
- Sieber, J. (1982). How humanism & determinism differ: Understanding psychological research. *IRB*, 4(3), 1-3, 12.
- Sieber, J. E. (1982). Ethnographic fieldwork and beneficial reciprocity. In J. E. Sieber (Ed.), *The ethics of social research: Fieldwork, regulation, and publication* (pp. 1-6). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Sieber, J. E. (1982). The roles of social scientists in research regulation and in giving social science to society via the media. In J. E. Sieber (Ed.), *The ethics of social research: Fieldwork, regulation, and publication* (pp. 93-96). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Sieber, J. E. (1992). *Planning ethically responsible research: A guide for students and internal review boards*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

- Sieber, J. E. (Ed.). (1982). *The ethics of social research: Fieldwork, regulation, and publication*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Sieber, J. E., & Baluyot, R. M. (1992). A survey of IRB concerns about social and behavioral research. *IRB*, 14(2), 9-10.
- Snyder, L. (2002). Confidentiality and anonymity: Promises and practices. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 70-78). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Social Sciences and Humanities Research Ethics Special Working Committee (SSHWC). (2004). *Giving voice to the spectrum: Report of the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Ethics Special Working Committee*. Ottawa: Interagency Advisory Panel and Secretariat on Research Ethics.
- Speers, M. A. (2002). Epidemiological/public health research. In R. Amdur & E. Bankert (Eds.), *Institutional Review Board: Management and function* (pp. 424-427). Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett.
- Stevenson, C., & Beech, I. (1998). Playing the power game for qualitative researchers: The possibility of a post-modern approach. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 27(4), 790-797.
- Stratton, M. (2002). Breaking in: Compromises in participatory field research within closed institutions. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 124-136). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Telford, R. (2003/4). Principles of successful consumer involvement in NHS research: Results of consensus study and national survey. *CERES News*, 34, 6-9.
- Thompson, S. A. (2002). My research friend? My friend the researcher? My friend, my researcher? Mis/informed consent and people with developmental disabilities. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 95-106). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Tolich, M. (Ed.). (2001). *Research ethics in Aotearoa New Zealand*. Auckland: Pearson Educational New Zealand.
- Tourigny, S. C., & Schrecker, T. (1998). *Understanding health care inequalities: Integrating qualitative methods, political economy and ethical reflection*: American Sociological Association, Association paper 98S37411.
- Tri-Council. (1998). *Tri-council policy statement: Ethical conduct for research involving humans*. Ottawa, Canada: Medical Research Council of Canada.
- Turner, L. (2003). Commentaries on Barrett and Parker, 'Rites of consent: Negotiating research participation in diverse cultures': Bioethics and anthropology: Bridges and barriers to transdisciplinary research. *Monash Bioethics Review*, 22(3), 12-17.
- Ungar, M. (2002). The harmony of resistance: Qualitative research and ethical practice in social work. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 137-151). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- van den Hoonaard, W. C. (2001). Is research-ethics review a moral panic? *The Canadian Review of Sociology and Anthropology*, 38(1), 19-36.

- van den Hoonaard, W. C. (2002). Introduction: Ethical norming and qualitative research. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 3-16). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- van den Hoonaard, W. C. (2002). Some concluding thoughts. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 175-187). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- van den Hoonaard, W. C. (2002). *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- van den Hoonaard, W. C. (2003). Is anonymity an artifact in ethnographic research? *Journal of Academic Ethics, 1*, 141-151
- van den Hoonaard, W. C. (2004, March). Is qualitative research changing?: The shaping influence of research-ethics review. Paper presented at the *First International Brazil Conference on Qualitative Research*, University of Taubaté, Brazil.
- Van Maanen, J. (1983). The moral fix: On the ethics of fieldwork. In R. M. Emerson (Ed.), *Contemporary field research: A collection of readings* (pp. 269-287). Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press.
- Waruszynski, B. T. (2002). Pace of technological change: Battling ethical issues in qualitative research. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 152-159). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Wax, M. L. (1977). Fieldworkers and research subjects: Who needs protection? *Hastings Center Report, 7*(4), 29-32.
- Wax, M. L. (1977). On fieldworkers and those exposed to fieldwork: Federal regulations and moral issues. *Human Organization, 36*(3), 321-328.
- Wax, M. L. (1979). On the presentation of self in fieldwork: The dialectic of mutual deception and disclosure. *Humanity and Society, 3*(4), 248-259.
- Wax, M. L. (1980). Paradoxes of "consent" to the practice of fieldwork. *Social Problems, 27*(3), 272-283.
- Wax, M. L. (1982). Research reciprocity rather than informed consent in fieldwork. In J. E. Sieber (Ed.), *The ethics of social research: Fieldwork, regulation, & publication* (pp. 33-48). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Wax, M. L. (1983). On fieldworkers and those exposed to fieldwork: Federal regulations and moral issues. In R. M. Emerson (Ed.), *Contemporary field research: A collection of readings* (pp. 288-299). Prospect Heights, IL: Waveland Press.
- Wax, M. L. (1986). Reflections on fieldwork reciprocity and ethical theories. *Anthropology and Humanism Quarterly, 11*(1), 2-7.
- Wax, M. L. (1991 & 1992). Self and other in anthropological fieldwork. *International Journal of Moral and Social Studies, 6 & 7*(3 & 1).
- Wax, M. L. (1995). Informed consent in applied research: A comment. *Human Organization, 54*(3), 330-331.
- Wax, M. L. (2001). When the Feds are uninformed, researchers cannot consent. *Society for Applied Anthropology Newsletter, 12*(1), 3-4.

- Wax, M. L., & Cassell, J. (1981). From regulation to refection: Ethics in social research. *American Sociologist*, 16, 224-229.
- Wax, M. L., & Cassell, J. (Eds.). (1979). *Federal regulations: Ethical issues and social research*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Wax, M. L., & Wax, R. H. (1980). Fieldwork and the research process. *Anthropology and Education Quarterly*, 11(1), 29-37.
- Wax, R. H. (1971). *Doing fieldwork: Warnings & advice*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Weijer, C. (1999). Protecting communities in research: Philosophical and pragmatic challenges. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*, 8(4), 501-513.
- Weijer, C., & Emanuel, E. J. (2000). Ethics. Protecting communities in biomedical research. *Science*, 289(5482), 1142-1144.
- Weijer, C., Goldsand, G., & Emanuel, E. J. (1999). Protecting communities in research: Current guidelines and limits of extrapolation. *Nature Genetics*, 23(3), 275-280.
- Weinberg, M. (2002). Biting the hand that feeds you, and other feminist dilemmas in fieldwork. In W. C. van den Hoonaard (Ed.), *Walking the tightrope: Ethical issues for qualitative researchers* (pp. 79-94). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Weisz, G. (Ed.). (1990). *Social science perspectives on medical ethics*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Witzel, A. (2000, 2001). *The problem-centred interview*. Retrieved 4 February, 2003, from <http://qualitative-research.net/fqs>
- Zion, D. (2003). Commentaries on Barrett and Parker, 'Rites of consent: Negotiating research participation in diverse cultures': Culture, community and consent: A response to Barrett and Parker. *Monash Bioethics Review*, 22(3), 23-27.